

Types and boundary uniqueness of polypentagons

M. Deza and M. I. Shtogrin

A connected plane graph taken with its interior faces forms an (r, q) -*polycycle* if the interior faces are all r -gons (where $r = \text{const} \geq 3$), while all interior vertices are of the maximal possible degree, denoted by q (where $q = \text{const} \geq 3$); see [1]. Any finite (r, q) -polycycle is homeomorphic to a disc and its *boundary* is homeomorphic to a circle. The cyclic sequence $q_1 q_2 \dots q_m$ formed by the degrees q_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, of all m boundary vertices of an (r, q) -polycycle is called the *boundary code*.¹ We call an r -gon *near-boundary* if at least one of its edges belongs to the boundary. If the intersection of a near-boundary r -gon with the boundary is connected, then the r -gon is *removable*, that is, the graph without this r -gon remains an (r, q) -polycycle; see [2]. Two (r, q) -polycycles with the same boundary are called *equiboundary*. Equiboundary (r, q) -polycycles consist of the same number p_r of r -gons; see [3]. A pair of equiboundary (r, q) -polycycles is said to be *irreducible* if every boundary edge belonging to a removable r -gon of one of the (r, q) -polycycles belongs to an unremovable r -gon of the other (r, q) -polycycle; see [2]. An example of an equiboundary pair of $(r, 3)$ -polycycles with the number $p_r = 4r$ is given in [4] for any $r \geq 5$; it is conjectured there that $4r$ is the minimal value for p_r . The conjecture is proved in [2] for $r = 6$. Below we prove the conjecture for $r = 5$, that is, for $(5, 3)$ -polycycles; we call such polycycles *polypentagons*.²

Theorem. (i) *There exists no pair of equiboundary polypentagons with $p_5 \leq 19$.*

(ii) *There exists only one (irreducible) pair of equiboundary polypentagons with $p_5 = 20$. Its boundary code is as follows: $3^3 232323^6 232323^3 232323^6 23232$.*

(iii) *There exist three (reducible) pairs with $p_5 = 21$. Their boundary codes are*

$$3^3 232323^6 2323^4 2323^6 23232, \quad 3^3 232323^6 23^2 2^2 3^4 232323^6 23232,$$

and $3^3 232323^7 2^2 3^2 23^3 232323^6 23232.$

(iv) *There exist seventeen pairs of equiboundary polypentagons with $p_5 = 22$.*

(v) *For any $p_5 \geq 23$ there exist at least seventeen pairs obtained as extensions.*³

An interior edge of an arbitrary (r, q) -polycycle with both ends on the boundary is called a *bridge*. Any (r, q) -polycycle without bridges is called *elementary*.

Lemma 1. *Any (r, q) -polycycle cut along all its bridges is split up uniquely into elementary polycycles.*

¹This is a *vertex* boundary code. The paper [2] utilizes an *edge* boundary code.

²An example of a boundary code admitting exactly $n + 1$ equiboundary polypentagons is known ([4], Proposition 3). Any such polypentagon has $40n + 18$ vertices, $60n + 23$ edges, and $20n + 6$ faces.

³If the boundary code of a finite polypentagon differs from 3^5 then it is extendable; see [1].

This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 05-01-00170), by the "Leading Scientific Schools" programme (grant NSh-4182.2006.1), and by the "Contemporary Problems of Theoretical Mathematics" programme of the Division of Mathematical Sciences of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

AMS 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05C75; Secondary 05C10, 05C90.

DOI 10.1070/RM2006v061n06ABEH004373.

We call these elementary polycycles *elementary components* of the (r, q) -polycycle. The form and notation for elementary components of polypentagons may be found in Figure 5 in [1].

The *adjacency graph* of the elementary components of an (r, q) -polycycle is formed by assigning a vertex to each elementary component, with two vertices connected by an edge if the corresponding elementary components are adjacent, that is, have a common edge.

Lemma 2. *The adjacency graph of the elementary components of a polycycle is a tree.*

Any non-trivial tree of splices of elementary components has at least two hanging elementary components. One boundary edge of a hanging elementary component is a bridge of the enveloping (r, q) -polycycle, while all the other boundary edges of the component remain boundary edges of the polycycle.

We can represent the boundary code of an (r, q) -polycycle not only in the expanded form, but also in a compact form with the number of identical consecutive entries in the cyclic sequence indicated as an exponent. In the case of a polypentagon (that is, a $(5, 3)$ -polycycle) the compact boundary code consists of only twos and threes with some exponents. The collection of exponents of two is called the *type* of the polypentagon and is written in braces. For instance, in case (iii) of the theorem, polypentagons with the first boundary code are of type $\{1\}$ and polypentagons with the remaining two boundary codes are of type $\{1; 2\}$ or $\{2; 1\}$.

All (finite and infinite) polypentagons are uniquely divided into nine types.

Type $\{5\}$. The unique polypentagon of type $\{5\}$ is D ; see Figure 5 in [1].

Type $\{3\}$. Any polypentagon of type $\{3\}$ is not elementary and consists of elementary components C_1 (each C_1 is adjacent to two neighbouring elementary components), C_3 , E_1 (each of these is adjacent to three neighbouring elementary components), and D (each D is hanging: it is adjacent to one neighbour). (In)finite polypentagons of type $\{1\}$ consist of at least (one) two elementary components D .

Type $\{2\}$. Polypentagons of type $\{2\}$ consist of elementary components C_1 , C_3 , and E_1 .

Type $\{1\}$. Elementary polypentagons of type $\{1\}$ are A_2 , A_3 , A_4 , A_5 . Non-elementary polypentagons of type $\{1\}$ consist of elementary components C_3 , E_1 (adjacent to three neighbours), C_1 , C_2 , D , E_j with $j \geq 2$ (adjacent to two neighbours), and B_1 , B_2 , B_3 (hanging).

Type $\{0\}$. Elementary polypentagons of type $\{0\}$ are the finite polypentagon A_1 and the infinite polypentagon A_6 . Non-elementary polypentagons of type $\{0\}$ are infinite and consist of C_1 (adjacent to two neighbours) and C_3 , E_1 (adjacent to three neighbours).

Type $\{3; 2; 1\}$. Polypentagons of type $\{3; 2; 1\}$ are not elementary and consist of elementary components B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , D , and E_j with $j \geq 1$. At least one D is hanging.

Type $\{3; 2\}$. Polypentagons of type $\{3; 2\}$ are not elementary and consist of elementary components C_1 , C_3 , D , E_1 . Each elementary component D is hanging.

Type $\{3; 1\}$. Polypentagons of type $\{3; 1\}$ are not elementary and consist of elementary components B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , D , and E_j with $j \geq 1$. At least one D is hanging.

Type $\{2; 1\}$. Elementary polypentagons of type $\{2; 1\}$ are B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , C_2 , D , and E_j with $j \geq 2$. Non-elementary polypentagons of type $\{2; 1\}$ consist of elementary components B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , D , and E_j with $j \geq 1$. Each D is adjacent to two neighbours.

Any pair of equiboundary polypentagons of type $\{2; 1\}$ or $\{2\}$ is reducible by means of the reduction $32^23 \rightarrow 232$ of a fragment of the boundary code.

Any pair of equiboundary polypentagons of type $\{3; 2; 1\}$, $\{3; 2\}$, $\{3; 1\}$, or $\{3\}$ is reducible by means of the reduction $32^33 \rightarrow 2^2$ of a fragment of the boundary code.

Lemma 3. *Polygons of an irreducible equiboundary pair are of type $\{1\}$.*

Corollary. *An equiboundary pair with minimal p_5 is of type $\{1\}$.*

Among elementary components of finite non-elementary polygons of type $\{1\}$ there are hanging B_2 and (or) B_3 , and there can be non-hanging C_1 and (or) C_2, C_3, D, E_j with $j \geq 1$.

Bibliography

- [1] M. Deza and M. Shtogrin, *J. Geom. Phys.* **40**:3–4 (2002), 302–319.
- [2] X. Guo, P. Hansen, and M. Zheng, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **118**:3 (2002), 209–222.
- [3] G. Brinkmann, O. Delgado-Friedrichs, and U. von Nathusius, *Graphs and discovery*, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 69, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2005, pp. 27–38.
- [4] M. Dutour, M. Deza, and M. Shtogrin, “Fillings of a given boundary by p -gons and related problems”, *Proceedings of the International Conference “General Theory of Information Transfer and Combinatorics”* (Bielefeld, 2004) (to appear).

M. Deza

École Normale Supérieure, Paris
E-mail: Michel.Deza@ens.fr

Presented by V. M. Buchstaber

Received 2/AUG/06

Translated by W. ZUDILIN

M. I. Shtogrin

Steklov Mathematical Institute,
Russian Academy of Sciences
E-mail: stogrin@mi.ras.ru